

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

**RICHARD E. OWENS,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**COMMISSIONER OF  
SOCIAL SECURITY,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 2:13-cv-1223  
JUDGE GREGORY L. FROST  
Magistrate Judge Terence P. Kemp**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court for consideration of the Magistrate Judge’s December 22, 2014 Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (ECF No. 18). In that filing, the Magistrate Judge considered the administrative record (ECF No. 9), Plaintiff’s statement of specific errors (ECF No. 12), and the Commissioner of Social Security’s (“Commissioner”) response in opposition (ECF No. 17). The Magistrate Judge recommended that the Court overrule Plaintiff’s statement of specific errors and enter judgment in favor of the Commissioner.

The R&R advised the parties that, if any party sought review by the District Judge, that party may file objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 18, at 19–20.) The R&R further advised the parties that the failure to object within fourteen days would “result in a waiver of the right to have the district judge review the Report & Recommendation de novo, and also operates as a waiver of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the Report & Recommendation.” (*Id.* at 20 (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981))).

The Court has reviewed the R&R. Noting that no objections have been filed, that the time for filing such objections has expired, and that the Magistrate Judge's reasoning is correct, the Court **ADOPTS** the R&R (ECF No. 18) and **ORDERS** that judgment be entered in favor of Defendant. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment accordingly and terminate this case from the docket records of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Gregory L. Frost  
**GREGORY L. FROST**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**